Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/805,170	ABRAHAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HO SHIU	2457
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>HO SHIU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Lebens Reg. # 38221</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2010	Time: <u>5:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 9 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Ho Ting Shiu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/805,170

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to applicant's attorney Thomas Lebens Reg. # 38221 regarding an examiner's amendment. The examiner's amendment was needed in order to place the case in condidion for allowance as there were pending 101 issues. Thomas Lebens has approved of the examiner's amendment in order to place the case in condidition for allowance.